arXiv:cond-mat/0108489v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 29 Aug 2001

The Finite Energy Constant Magnetization of the Two – Dimensional Ising Model

Yury M. Zinoviev*
Steklov Mathematical Institute,
Gubkin St. 8, Moscow 117966, GSP - 1, Russia
e – mail: zinoviev@mi.ras.ru

Abstract. We suggest the new definition of the magnetization. For the two – dimensional Ising model with the free boundary conditions we calculate any derivative of this magnetization for zero magnetic field.

1 Introduction

Yang [1] proved the formula for the spontaneous magnetization of the two – dimensional Ising model. (See also the paper [2] where the result for different vertical and horizontal interactions is obtained.) Montroll, Potts and Ward [3] defined the square of the spontaneous magnetization as the asymptotics of the spin – spin correlation function. In order to characterize these papers we cite the paper [4]:

"In contrast to the free energy, the spontaneous magnetization of the Ising model on a square lattice, correctly defined, has never been solved with complete mathematical rigor. Starting from the only sensible definition of the spontaneous magnetization, the methods of Yang, and of Montroll, Potts, and Ward are each forced to make an assumption that has not been rigorously justified."

In the book ([5], p. 113) the following problem is solved:

"More precisely, we impose on the Onsager lattice a cyclic boundary condition in the horizontal direction only and let a magnetic field interact with one of the two horizontal boundary rows of spins."

In order to describe the latter situation we cite the book ([6], p. 14):

"What is probably more unfortunate is that most of the two – dimensional models have only been solved in zero field ($H = 0$), so only very limited information on the critical behaviour has been obtained..."

In this paper we suggest the new definition of the magnetization. In order to formulate it we use the one – dimensional Ising model. Let for any integer $k = 1, ..., N$ the number

---

*This work is supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant No. 00 – 01 – 00083)

$\sigma_k = \pm 1$ be given. The energy for the Ising model with the magnetic field is

$$\bar{H}(\sigma) = -\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} E\sigma_k\sigma_{k+1} - E\sigma_N\sigma_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{N} H(k)\sigma_k \tag{1.1}$$

where the number $H(k) = H$ for any integer $k = 1, ..., N$. The function

$$Z_N(E,H) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_k = \pm 1, \\ k=1,...,N}} \exp\{-\beta\bar{H}(\sigma)\} \tag{1.2}$$

is called the partition function of the Ising model. Due to ([5], Chapter III, formulae (2.10), (2.13))

$$Z_N(E,H) = (\lambda_+(E,H))^N + (\lambda_-(E,H))^N,$$
$$\lambda_\pm(E,H) = e^{\beta E}(\cosh\beta H \pm (\sinh^2\beta H + e^{-4\beta E})^{1/2}). \tag{1.3}$$

Due to ([5], Chapter II, formula (4.5)) the average total magnetization is

$$\bar{M}_N(E,H) = \beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H}\ln Z_N(E,H). \tag{1.4}$$

The relations (1.3) imply

$$\bar{M}_N(E,H) = NM(E,H) + o(N) \tag{1.5}$$

where the coefficient

$$M(E,H) = (\sinh^2\beta H + e^{-4\beta E})^{-1/2}\sinh\beta H \tag{1.6}$$

is called the magnetization per spin. Since $M(E,0) = 0$, the spontaneous magnetization is absent in this model.

The energy of the magnetic field in the expression (1.1) is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N}(H(k))^2 = NH^2. \tag{1.7}$$

This energy tends to the infinity when $N \to \infty$. Hence the above problem seems to be non – physical one. We change the numbers $H(k) = H$ in the expression (1.1) for the numbers $H(k) = N^{-1/2}H$. The energy (1.7) of this magnetic field is constant when $N \to \infty$. The relations (1.3) imply

$$m(E,H) = \lim_{N\to\infty}\beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H}\ln Z_N(E,N^{-1/2}H) = \beta He^{2\beta E}. \tag{1.8}$$

The quantity (1.8) is called the finite energy constant magnetization of the one – dimensional Ising model.

In this paper we aim to calculate the finite energy constant magnetization of the two – dimensional Ising model with the free boundary conditions. For the two – dimensional Ising model we calculate any derivative of the quantity (1.8) at the point $H = 0$. If the function (1.8) is holomorphic at the point $H = 0$, the Taylor series restores it in some neighbourhood of the point $H = 0$. We will show that for the two – dimensional Ising model with the free boundary conditions the function (1.8) is not holomorphic at the point $H = 0$.

2 Magnetization

We consider a rectangular lattice on the plane formed by the points with the integral Cartesian coordinates $x = k_1$, $y = k_2$, $M'_i \leq k_i \leq M_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and the corresponding horizontal and vertical edges connecting the neighbour vertices. We denote this graph by $G(M'_1, M'_2; M_1, M_2)$. The cell complex $P(G)$ is called the set consisting of the cells (vertices, edges, faces). A vertex of $P(G)$ is called a cell of dimension 0. It is denoted by s^0_i. An edge of $P(G)$ is called a cell of dimension 1. It is denoted by s^1_i. A face of $P(G)$ is called a cell of dimension 2. It is denoted by s^2_i. We denote by $\mathbf{Z}_2^{add}$ the group of modulo 2 residuals. The modulo 2 residuals are multiplied by each other and the group $\mathbf{Z}_2^{add}$ is a field. To every pair of the cells s^p_i, s^{p-1}_j there corresponds the number $(s^p_i : s^{p-1}_j) \in \mathbf{Z}_2^{add}$ (incidence number). If the cell s^{p-1}_j is included into the boundary of the cell s^p_i, then the incidence number $(s^p_i : s^{p-1}_j) = 1$. Otherwise the incidence number $(s^p_i : s^{p-1}_j) = 0$. For any pair of the cells s^2_i, s^0_j the incidence numbers satisfy the condition

$$\sum_m (s^2_i : s^1_m)(s^1_m : s^0_j) = 0 \bmod 2. \tag{2.1}$$

Indeed, if the vertex s^0_j is not included into the boundary of the square s^2_i , then the condition (2.1) is fulfilled. If the vertex s^0_j is included into the boundary of the square s^2_i, then it is included into the boundaries of four edges s^1_m, two of which are included into the boundary of the square s^2_i. The condition (2.1) is fulfilled again.

A cochain c^p of the complex $P(G)$ with the coefficients in the group $\mathbf{Z}_2^{add}$ is a function on the $p-$ dimensional cells taking values in the group $\mathbf{Z}_2^{add}$. Usually the cell orientation is considered and the cochains are antisymmetric functions: $c^p(-s^p_i) = -c^p(s^p_i)$. However, $-1 = 1 \bmod 2$ and we can neglect the cell orientation for the coefficients in the group $\mathbf{Z}_2^{add}$. The cochains form an Abelian group

$$(c^p + c'^p)(s^p_i) = c^p(s^p_i) + c'^p(s^p_i) \bmod 2. \tag{2.2}$$

It is denoted by $C^p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$. The mapping

$$\partial c^p(s^{p-1}_i) = \sum_j (s^p_j : s^{p-1}_i) c^p(s^p_j) \bmod 2 \tag{2.3}$$

defines the homomorphism of the group $C^p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ into the group $C^{p-1}(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$. It is called the boundary operator. The mapping

$$\partial^\star c^p(s^{p+1}_i) = \sum_j (s^{p+1}_i : s^p_j) c^p(s^p_j) \bmod 2 \tag{2.4}$$

defines the homomorphism of the group $C^p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ into the group $C^{p+1}(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$. It is called the coboundary operator. The condition (2.1) implies $\partial\partial = 0$, $\partial^\star\partial^\star = 0$. The kernel $Z_p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ of the homomorphism (2.3) on the group $C^p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ is called the group of cycles of the complex $P(G)$ with the coefficients in the group $\mathbf{Z}_2^{add}$. The image $B_p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ of the homomorphism (2.3) in the group $C^p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ is called the group of boundaries of the complex $P(G)$ with the coefficients in the group $\mathbf{Z}_2^{add}$. Since $\partial\partial = 0$, the group $B_p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ is the subgroup of the group $Z_p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$. Analogously, for the coboundary operator $\partial^\star$ the group of cocyles $Z^p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ and the group of coboundaries $B^p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ are defined.

It is possible to introduce the bilinear form on $C^p(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$:

$$\langle f^p, g^p\rangle = \sum_i f^p(s_i^p) g^p(s_i^p) \bmod 2. \tag{2.5}$$

The definitions (2.3), (2.4) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f^p, \partial^\star g^{p-1}\rangle &= \langle \partial f^p, g^{p-1}\rangle \\ \langle f^p, \partial g^{p+1}\rangle &= \langle \partial^\star f^p, g^{p+1}\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Let a cochain $\sigma \in C^0(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$. The function

$$\bar{H}(\sigma) = -\sum_{s_i^1 \in P(G)} E(s_i^1)(-1)^{\partial^\star \sigma(s_i^1)} - \sum_{s_i^0 \in P(G)} H(s_i^0)(-1)^{\sigma(s_i^0)} \tag{2.7}$$

is called the energy for the Ising model with the magnetic field. The number $E(s_i^1)$ is the interaction energy attached to the edge s_i^1. The number $H(s_i^0)$ is the magnetic field attached to the vertex s_i^0.

The function

$$\bar{Z}_G = \sum_{\sigma \in C^0(P(G), Z_2^{add})} \exp\{-\beta \bar{H}(\sigma)\} \tag{2.8}$$

is called the partition function of the Ising model with the magnetic field.

Let the cochain $\chi \in C^0(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ take the value 1 at the vertices $s_1^0, ..., s_m^0$ and be equal to 0 on all other vertices of the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$. The correlation function on the vertices $s_1^0, ..., s_m^0$ of the lattice $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ is the function

$$\bar{W}_G(\chi) = (\bar{Z}_G)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in C^0(P(G), Z_2^{add})} (-1)^{\langle \chi, \sigma\rangle} \exp\{-\beta \bar{H}(\sigma)\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Let us consider the particular case of the function (2.7) when the magnetic field $H(s_i^0)$ is independent of the vertex s_i^0. We suppose that the energy of this magnetic field

$$\sum_{s_i^0 \in P(G)} (H(s_i^0))^2 \tag{2.10}$$

is independent of the size of the lattice $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$. Therefore

$$H(s_i^0) = (\#(VG))^{-1/2} H \tag{2.11}$$

where $\#(VG)$ is the total number of the vertices of the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ and H is a constant.

Analogously to the definition (1.8) we define the finite energy constant magnetization as

$$m_G(H) = \beta^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial H} \ln \bar{Z}_G. \tag{2.12}$$

It is easy to verify that for $\epsilon = 0, 1$

$$\exp\{\beta E(s_i^1)(-1)^\epsilon\} = (\cosh \beta E(s_i^1)) \sum_{\xi=0,1} (-1)^{\xi\epsilon} (\tanh \beta E(s_i^1))^{\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^\xi)}. \tag{2.13}$$

The equalities (2.6), (2.13) imply

$$\exp\{\beta \sum_{s_i^1\in P(G)} E(s_i^1)(-1)^{\partial^\star \sigma(s_i^1)}\} =$$

$$\left(\prod_{s_i^1\in P(G)} \cosh \beta E(s_i^1)\right) \sum_{\xi^1\in C^1(P(G),Z_2^{add})} (-1)^{\langle\sigma,\partial\xi^1\rangle} \prod_{s_i^1\in P(G)} (\tanh \beta E(s_i^1))^{\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{\xi^1(s_i^1)})}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\exp\{\beta(\#(VG))^{-1/2}H \sum_{s_i^0\in P(G)} (-1)^{\sigma(s_i^0)}\} = (\cosh \beta(\#(VG))^{-1/2}H)^{\#(VG)} \times$$

$$\sum_{\xi^0\in C^0(P(G),Z_2^{add})} (-1)^{\langle\sigma,\xi^0\rangle} (\tanh \beta(\#(VG))^{-1/2}H)^{\sum_{s_i^0\in P(G)} \frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{\xi^0(s_i^0)})} \quad (2.15)$$

The substitution of the equalities (2.14), (2.15) and the relation

$$\sum_{\xi=0,1} (-1)^{\xi\epsilon} = \begin{cases} 2, & \epsilon = 0, \\ 0, & \epsilon = 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

into the definition (2.8) gives

$$\bar{Z}_G = 2^{\#(VG)} (\cosh \beta(\#(VG))^{-1/2}H)^{\#(VG)} \left(\prod_{s_i^1\in P(G)} \cosh \beta E(s_i^1)\right) \bar{Z}_{r,G}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\bar{Z}_{r,G} = \sum_{\xi^1\in C^1(P(G),Z_2^{add})} \left(\prod_{s_i^1\in P(G)} (\tanh \beta E(s_i^1))^{\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{\xi^1(s_i^1)})}\right) \times$$

$$(\tanh \beta(\#(VG))^{-1/2}H)^{\sum_{s_i^0\in P(G)} \frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{\partial\xi^1(s_i^0)})}. \quad (2.18)$$

We denote the sum (2.8) for $H = 0$ by Z_G, the sum (2.9) for $H = 0$ by $W_G(\chi)$ and the sum (2.18) for $H = 0$ by $Z_{r,G}$. The relation (2.18) implies

$$Z_{r,G} = \sum_{\xi^1\in Z_1(P(G),Z_2^{add})} \prod_{s_i^1\in P(G)} (\tanh \beta E(s_i^1))^{\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{\xi^1(s_i^1)})}. \quad (2.19)$$

Due to Proposition 3.1 from the paper [7]

$$W_G(\chi) = (Z_{r,G})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\xi^1\in C^1(P(G),Z_2^{add}), \\ \partial\xi^1 = \chi}} \prod_{s_i^1\in P(G)} (\tanh \beta E(s_i^1))^{\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{\xi^1(s_i^1)})} \quad (2.20)$$

The substitution of the equality (2.20) into the equality (2.18) gives

$$(Z_{r,G})^{-1}\bar{Z}_{r,G} = \sum_{\xi^0\in B_0(P(G),Z_2^{add})} W_G(\xi^0) (\tanh \beta(\#(VG))^{-1/2}H)^{\sum_{s_i^0\in P(G)} \frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{\xi^0(s_i^0)})}. \quad (2.21)$$

The sum (2.19) is independent of H. Hence the definition (2.12) and the relation (2.17) imply

$$m_G(H) = \beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H}\ln((Z_{r,G})^{-1}\bar{Z}_G) =$$
$$(\#(VG))^{1/2}\tanh\beta(\#(VG))^{-1/2}H + \beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H}\ln((Z_{r,G})^{-1}\bar{Z}_{r,G}), \tag{2.22}$$

$$\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}} m_G(H) = \beta H + \lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}}\beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H}\ln((Z_{r,G})^{-1}\bar{Z}_{r,G}). \tag{2.23}$$

Differentiating the equality (2.22) k times we have

$$\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}}(\beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H})^k m_G(H) = (\beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H})^k\beta H + \lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}}(\beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H})^{k+1}\ln((Z_{r,G})^{-1}\bar{Z}_{r,G}). \tag{2.24}$$

Let us consider the function (2.21). The cochain $\xi^0 \in B_0(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ takes the value 1 only at the ends of the broken lines. Any broken line has two ends. Hence the cochain ξ^0 takes the value 1 at the even number of vertices. Conversely, since the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ is arcwise connected, any even number of vertices may be pairwise connected by the broken lines. Let the cochain ξ^0 equal 1 on the even number of vertices. Then it is the boundary of the cochain ξ^1 which is equal to 1 on the edges of these broken lines. Thus the group $B_0(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ consists of the cochains

$$\xi^0 = \sum_{j=1}^{2k}\delta^0[s^0_{i_j}], \tag{2.25}$$

$$\delta^0[s^0_i](s^0_j) = \delta_{ij}. \tag{2.26}$$

Therefore the relation (2.21) may be rewritten as

$$(Z_{r,G})^{-1}\bar{Z}_{r,G} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{1}{2}\#(VG)]}(\tanh\beta(\#(VG))^{-1/2}H)^{2k}\sum_{\substack{s^0_{i_1}\neq\cdots\neq s^0_{i_{2k}},\\ s^0_{i_j}\in P(G)}} W_G(\sum_{j=1}^{2k}\delta^0[s^0_{i_j}]). \tag{2.27}$$

The cochain $\xi^1 \in C^1(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ is called the non – oriented path connecting the vertices s^0_i and s^0_j if

$$\partial\xi^0 = \delta^0[s^0_i] + \delta^0[s^0_j] \tag{2.28}$$

and any edge of the path is connected at any vertex to at most one other edge of the path. Any cochain from the group $C^1(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ may be represented as a sum of the non – oriented paths. These non – oriented paths have no common edges. If we suppose that these non – oriented paths self – intersect transversally and intersect each other transversally, then this representation is unique. In order to establish this representation it is sufficient to consider two cases: the cochain $\xi^1 \in C^1(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ is equal to 1 on three or four edges incident to one vertex. Let the cochain be equal to 1 on the three edges incident to one vertex. Two edges of these three edges are vertical or horizontal. We connect these two edges in one non – oriented path. Let the cochain be equal to 1 on the four edges incident to one vertex. Two edges of these four edges are horizontal and last two edges are vertical. We connect the horizontal edges in one non – oriented path and connect the vertical edges in another (in general) non – oriented path. Thus the set of edges on which the cochain is equal to 1 is divided into the set of the non – oriented paths. By construction these non – oriented paths self – intersect transversally and intersect each other transversally. Therefore

$$\xi^1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i^1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \eta_j^1 \tag{2.29}$$

where ξ_i^1 is a non – oriented path connecting two vertices and η_j^1 is a non – oriented closed path. The non – oriented paths ξ_i^1, η_j^1 self – intersect transversally and intersect each other transversally.

Let us denote $j_1(\xi^1)(j_2(\xi^1))$ the cochain which is equal to 1 on the horizontal (vertical) edges incident to the vertices incident to the horizontal (vertical) edges on which the cochain ξ^1 is equal to 1. We define

$$j(\xi^1) = j_1(\xi^1) + j_2(\xi^1). \tag{2.30}$$

The support $||\xi^1||$ is the set of all edges of the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ at which a cochain ξ^1 takes the value 1. The non – oriented paths ξ_i^1, η_j^1 in the equality (2.29) intersect each other transversally. This condition may be written as

$$||j(\xi_i^1)|| \cap ||\eta_j^1|| = \emptyset. \tag{2.31}$$

By making use of the equality (2.29) we rewrite the relation (2.20) in the following form

$$W_G(\chi) = \sum_{\sum_i \partial \xi_i^1 = \chi} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m(\chi)} (\tanh \beta E)^{\xi_i^1} \right) (Z_{r,G})^{-1} Z_{r,G'}, \tag{2.32}$$

$$(\tanh \beta E)^{\xi^1} = \prod_{s_i^1 \in P(G)} (\tanh \beta E(s_i^1))^{\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{\xi^1(s_i^1)})}, \tag{2.33}$$

$$G' = G \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{m(\chi)} ||j(\xi_i^1)||. \tag{2.34}$$

where in the equality (2.32) the summing runs over the set of the non – oriented paths ξ_i^1 connecting pairwise the vertices on which the cochain χ is equal to 1. These non – oriented paths ξ_i^1 have no common edges. These non – oriented paths self – intersect transversally and intersect each other transversally. If the number of vertices on which the cochain χ is equal to 1 is equal to $2m(\chi)$, then the number of these non – oriented paths ξ_i^1 is equal to $m(\chi)$. The graph (2.34) is obtained from the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ by deleting all edges from the supports $||j(\xi_i^1)||, i = 1, ..., m(\chi)$. For any graph G' embedded in the rectangular lattice $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ it is possible to define the cell complex $P(G')$. Then the reduced partition function $Z_{r,G'}$ is given by the relation (2.19).

We write the edge s_i^1 of the lattice $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ as the pair $\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}\}$ where $\mathbf{p}$ is the edge initial vertex and the unit vector $\mathbf{e}$ is the edge direction. The unit vector $\mathbf{e}$ is one of the four vectors: $(\pm 1, 0)$, $(0, \pm 1)$. Since the edge is non – oriented, then $\{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{e}, -\mathbf{e}\} = \{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}\}$. The intitial vertex $\mathbf{p}$ and the final vertex $\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{e}$ of the edge $\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}\}$ are the vertices of the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$. Hence the components $p^i, i = 1, 2$, are the integers and $M_i' \leq p^i \leq M_i$, $M_i' \leq p^i + e^i \leq M_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

We write the oriented edge of the lattice $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ as the pair $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e})$ where $\mathbf{p}$ is the oriented edge initial vertex and the unit vector $\mathbf{e}$ is the oriented edge direction. Let

$\#(\mathbf{E}G)$ be the total number of the oriented edges of the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$. We define $\#(\mathbf{E}G) \times \#(\mathbf{E}G)$ – matrix

$$T_{(p_1,e_1),(p_2,e_2)} = \begin{cases} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{,} \mathbf{e}_2)\} \tanh \beta E(\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{e}_1\}), & \mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{e}_1,\ \mathbf{p}_1 \neq \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2, \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{2.35}$$

where $(\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{,} \mathbf{e}_2)$ is the radian measure of the angle between the direction of the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_1$ and the direction of the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_2$. Due to the paper [8]

$$(Z_{r,G})^2 = \det(I - T) \tag{2.36}$$

where the reduced partition function $Z_{r,G}$ is given by the relation (2.19) and I is the identity $\#(\mathbf{E}G) \times \#(\mathbf{E}G)$ – matrix. The formula (2.36) is obtained for an arbitrary graph G embedded in a lattice on the plane. We suppose that a graph G is embedded in the lattice $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$. Let the estimate

$$|\tanh \beta E(\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}\})| < 1/3 \tag{2.37}$$

be fulfilled for any edge of the graph G. Then

$$\det(I - T) = \exp\{-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} \mathrm{tr} T^k\}. \tag{2.38}$$

A closed path is a sequence of the oriented edges $C = ((\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{e}_1), ..., (\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{e}_k))$ such that

$$\mathbf{p}_{i+1} = \mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{e}_i,\ i = 1, ..., k-1,\ \mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_k + \mathbf{e}_k. \tag{2.39}$$

The number $|C| = k$ is called the length of the closed path $C = ((\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{e}_1), ..., (\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{e}_k))$. The support $||C||$ is the set of all edges $\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}\}$ such that the oriented edge $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e})$ is included into the path C or the oriented edge $(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{e}, -\mathbf{e})$ is included into the path C. The closed path $((\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{e}_1), ..., (\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{e}_k))$ is called reduced if it satisfies the following condition

$$\mathbf{p}_{i+1} = \mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{e}_i,\ \mathbf{p}_{i+1} + \mathbf{e}_{i+1} \neq \mathbf{p}_i,\ i = 1, ..., k-1,\ \mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_k + \mathbf{e}_k,\ \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{e}_1 \neq \mathbf{p}_k. \tag{2.40}$$

The set of all reduced closed paths on the graph G is denoted by $RC(G)$. With any reduced closed path $C = ((\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{e}_1), ..., (\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{e}_k))$ on the graph G there corresponds the total angle through which the tangent vector of the path C turns along the path C

$$\phi(C) = (\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{,} \mathbf{e}_2) + (\mathbf{e}_2 \hat{,} \mathbf{e}_3) + \cdots + (\mathbf{e}_{k-1} \hat{,} \mathbf{e}_k) + (\mathbf{e}_k \hat{,} \mathbf{e}_1). \tag{2.41}$$

Due to the paper [7]

$$\mathrm{tr} T^k = \sum_{\substack{C \in RC(G), \\ |C| = k}} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\} (\tanh \beta E)^C, \tag{2.42}$$

$$(\tanh \beta E)^C = \prod_{(p,e) \in C} \tanh \beta E(\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}\}). \tag{2.43}$$

The substitution of the equality (2.42) into the equalities (2.36), (2.38) gives

$$(Z_{r,G})^2 = \exp\{-\sum_{C \in RC(G)} |C|^{-1} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\} (\tanh \beta E)^C\}. \tag{2.44}$$

In view of the definition the angle $\phi(C) = 2\pi k$ where k is an integer. Hence the number $\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}$ is real. By the definitions (2.8), (2.17) the number $Z_{r,G}$ is positive. Thus the equality (2.44) implies

$$Z_{r,G} = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{C\,\in\,RC(G)} |C|^{-1}\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh\beta E)^{C}\}. \tag{2.45}$$

By the definition a reduced closed path does not contain the oppositely oriented edges $(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}), (\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{e},-\mathbf{e})$ if they are subsequent or if they are the first and the last edges of the closed path. A closed path is called completely reduced if it does not contain the oppositely oriented edges $(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}), (\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{e},-\mathbf{e})$ at any place. The set of all completely reduced closed paths on the graph G is denoted by $CRC(G)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let a graph G be embedded in the rectagular lattice $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ on the plane. Let with any reduced closed path C on the graph G there correspond the number $\phi(C)$ given by the relation* (2.41) *and the number* $(\tanh\beta E)^{C}$ *given by the relation* (2.43). *If the estimate* (2.37) *is valid, then*

$$\sum_{C\,\in\,RC(G)} |C|^{-1}\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh\beta E)^{C} =$$

$$\sum_{C\,\in\,CRC(G)} |C|^{-1}\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh\beta E)^{C}. \tag{2.46}$$

In the left hand side of the equality (2.46) *the sum extends over the set $RC(G)$ of all the reduced closed paths on the graph G and in the right hand side of the equality* (2.46) *the sum extends over the set $CRC(G)$ of all the completely reduced closed paths on the graph G.*

Proof. Let the reduced closed path $C = ((\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{e}_1),...,(\mathbf{p}_p,\mathbf{e}_p),(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}),(\mathbf{p}_{p+1},\mathbf{e}_{p+1}),...,$ $(\mathbf{p}_{p+q},\mathbf{e}_{p+q}),(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{e},-\mathbf{e}),(\mathbf{p}_{p+q+1},\mathbf{e}_{p+q+1}),...,(\mathbf{p}_{p+q+r},\mathbf{e}_{p+q+r}))$ contain the oppositely oriented edges $(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e})$ and $(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{e},-\mathbf{e})$. Then the closed path $C' = ((\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{e}_1),...,(\mathbf{p}_p,\mathbf{e}_p),(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}),(\mathbf{p}_{p+q}+\mathbf{e}_{p+q},-\mathbf{e}_{p+q}),...,(\mathbf{p}_{p+1}+\mathbf{e}_{p+1},-\mathbf{e}_{p+1}),(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{e},-\mathbf{e}),(\mathbf{p}_{p+q+1},\mathbf{e}_{p+q+1}),...,(\mathbf{p}_{p+q+r},\mathbf{e}_{p+q+r}))$ is also reduced. The path length definition and the definition (2.43) imply

$$|C| = |C'|,\ (\tanh\beta E)^{C} = (\tanh\beta E)^{C'}. \tag{2.47}$$

By using the definition (2.41) we get

$$\phi(C) = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3, \tag{2.48}$$

$$\phi_1 = \sum_{i\,=\,1}^{p\,-\,1} (\mathbf{e}_i\hat{,}\mathbf{e}_{i+1}) + (\mathbf{e}_p\hat{,}\mathbf{e}), \tag{2.49}$$

$$\phi_2 = (\mathbf{e}\hat{,}\mathbf{e}_{p+1}) + \sum_{i\,=\,p\,+\,1}^{p\,+\,q\,-\,1} (\mathbf{e}_i\hat{,}\mathbf{e}_{i+1}) + (\mathbf{e}_{p+q}\hat{,}-\mathbf{e}), \tag{2.50}$$

$$\phi_3 = (-\mathbf{e}\hat{,}\mathbf{e}_{p+q+1}) + \sum_{i\,=\,p\,+\,q\,+\,1}^{p\,+\,q\,+\,r\,-\,1} (\mathbf{e}_i\hat{,}\mathbf{e}_{i+1}) + (\mathbf{e}_{p+q+r}\hat{,}\mathbf{e}_1). \tag{2.51}$$

It is easy to verify the relation

$$(\mathbf{e}_1\hat{,}\mathbf{e}_2) = -(-\mathbf{e}_2\hat{,}-\mathbf{e}_1). \tag{2.52}$$

The definition (2.41) and the relation (2.52) imply

$$\phi(C') = \phi_1 - \phi_2 + \phi_3. \tag{2.53}$$

Due to the definition (2.50) $\phi_2 = (2k+1)\pi$ where k is an integer. Hence $\exp\{-\frac{i}{2}\phi_2\} = -\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi_2\}$. In view of the relations (2.48), (2.53)

$$\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\} = -\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C')\}. \tag{2.54}$$

Due to the relations (2.47), (2.54) all terms $|C|^{-1}\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh\beta E)^C$ in the left hand side sum (2.46) corresponding with the reduced closed paths C containing the oppositely oriented edges $(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e})$ and $(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{e},-\mathbf{e})$ cancel each other. The theorem is proved.

Since the relations (2.45), (2.46) are valid for any graph embedded in the rectangular lattice $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$, then

$$(Z_{r,G})^{-1}Z_{r,G'} = \exp\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{C\in CRC(G),\\ ||C||\cap\cup_i ||j(\xi_i^1)||\neq\emptyset}} |C|^{-1}\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh\beta E)^C\} \tag{2.55}$$

where the graph G' is given by the relation (2.34) and the estimate (2.37) is valid.

The substitution of the equality (2.55) into the equality (2.32) gives

$$W_G(\chi) = \sum_{\sum_i \partial\xi_i^1 = \chi} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m(\chi)} (\tanh\beta E)^{\xi_i^1}\right) \times$$

$$\exp\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{C\in CRC(G),\\ ||C||\cap\cup_i ||j(\xi_i^1)||\neq\emptyset}} |C|^{-1}\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh\beta E)^C\} \tag{2.56}$$

where the summing runs over the set of the non – oriented paths ξ_i^1 connecting pairwise the vertices on which the cochain χ is equal to 1. These non – oriented paths have no common edges. These non – oriented paths self – intersect transversally and intersect each other transversally. If the number of vertices on which the cochain χ is equal to 1 is equal to $2m(\chi)$, then the number of these non – oriented paths ξ_i^1 is equal to $m(\chi)$.

3 Thermodynamic limit

In this section we study the limit of the formulae (2.27), (2.56) when the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ tends to the infinite graph $\mathbf{Z}^{\times 2}$, i.e. $M_i' \to -\infty$, $M_i \to \infty$, $i = 1, 2$.

Let us construct on the graph $\mathbf{Z}^{\times 2}$ a reduced closed path with the fixed initial vertex. For the first oriented edge we have 4 possibilities. For any other oriented edge the number of possibilities is not more than 3. Thus the total number of reduced closed paths of the length l starting at the fixed vertex is not more than $4\cdot 3^{l-1}$. Hence the series

$$\sum_{\substack{C\in CRC(Z^{\times 2}),\\ ||C||\cap\cup_i ||j(\xi_i^1)||\neq\emptyset}} |C|^{-1}\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh\beta E)^C \tag{3.1}$$

is absolutely convergent if the estimate (2.37) is valid.

We suppose that all interaction energies $E(\{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}\})$ attached to the vertical (horizontal) edges $\{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}\}$ have the same sign. Any closed path C on the lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\times 2}$ has an even number of the verically directed edges and it has an even number of the horizontally directed edges. Therefore

$$(\tanh \beta E)^{C} \geq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Any cycle $\xi^1 \in Z_1(P(G), \mathbf{Z}_2^{add})$ for a graph G embedded in the lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\times 2}$ may be represented as the set of closed non – oriented paths on the lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\times 2}$. These non – oriented paths self – intersect transversally and intersect each other transversally. Hence the inequality (3.2) implies

$$(\tanh \beta E)^{\xi^1} \geq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

It follows from the equality (2.19) and the inequality (3.3) that

$$(Z_{r,G})^{-1} Z_{r,G'} \leq 1 \tag{3.4}$$

where the graph G' is given by the relation (2.34). By making use of the inequality (3.4) and the equality (2.32) for $G \to \mathbf{Z}^{\times 2}$ we get the estimate

$$\mid W_{Z^{\times 2}}(\chi) \mid \leq \sum_{\sum_i \partial \xi_i^1 = \chi} \prod_{i=1}^{m(\chi)} |(\tanh \beta E)^{\xi_i^1}| \tag{3.5}$$

where the summing runs over the set of the non – oriented paths ξ_i^1 connecting pairwise the vertices on which the cochain χ is equal to 1. These non – oriented paths have no common edges. These non – oriented paths self – intersect transversally and intersect each other transversally. If the number of vertices on which the cochain χ is equal to 1 is equal to $2m(\chi)$, then the number of these non – oriented paths ξ_i^1 is equal to $m(\chi)$. For the fixed cochain χ the series (3.5) is absolutely convergent if the estimate (2.37) is valid. Therefore for $G \to \mathbf{Z}^{\times 2}$ the sum (2.56) for the fixed cochain χ tends to the absolutely convergent series

$$W_{Z^{\times 2}}(\chi) = \sum_{\sum_i \partial \xi_i^1 = \chi} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m(\chi)} (\tanh \beta E)^{\xi_i^1} \right) \times$$

$$\exp\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{C \in CRC(Z^{\times 2}), \\ ||C|| \cap \cup_i ||j(\xi_i^1)|| \neq \emptyset}} |C|^{-1} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\} (\tanh \beta E)^{C}\}. \tag{3.6}$$

The cells s_i^0 are the vertices of the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ or the vectors $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{R}^2$ with the integer components and $M_i' \leq p^i \leq M_i, i = 1, 2$. We define the cochain $\delta^0[\mathbf{p}]$ similarly to the definition (2.26)

$$\delta^0[\mathbf{p}](\mathbf{q}) = \delta_{p^1,q^1} \delta_{p^2,q^2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let the interaction energy $E(\{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}\})$ depend only on the direction (horizontal or vertical) of the edge $\{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}\}$. Let the estimate* (2.37) *be valid. Then for $k = 0, 1, ...$*

$$\lim_{G \to Z^{\times 2}} (\beta^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial H})^{2k+1} ((Z_{r,G})^{-1} \bar{Z}_{r,G}) \mid_{H=0} = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\lim_{G \to Z^{\times 2}} (\beta^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial H})^{2k} ((Z_{r,G})^{-1} \bar{Z}_{r,G}) \mid_{H=0} =$$

$$2^{-k} \frac{((2k)!)^2}{k!} \left(\sum_{p \in Z^{\times 2}, p \neq 0} W_{Z^{\times 2}}(\delta^0[0] + \delta^0[\mathbf{p}]) \right)^k \tag{3.9}$$

where the correlation function $W_{Z^{\times 2}}(\chi)$ *is given by the relation* (3.6).
Proof. The equality (2.27) implies

$$\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}}(\beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H})^{2k+1}((Z_{r,G})^{-1}\bar{Z}_{r,G})\mid_{H=0}=$$
$$\sum_{l=1}^{k}\frac{\partial^{2k+1}}{\partial H^{2k+1}}(\tanh H)^{2l}\mid_{H=0}\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}}(\#(VG))^{-\frac{2k+1}{2}}\sum_{p_1\neq\cdots\neq p_{2l},\,p_i\in P(G)}W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2l}\delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i]).\quad(3.10)$$

We divide the numbers $1,...,2l$ into l pairs $i_1,j_1;...;i_l,j_l$ where $1=i_1<\cdots<i_l$ and $i_1<j_1,...,i_l<j_l$. The total number of such subdivisions is equal to $(2l)!(l!)^{-1}2^{-l}$. In the relation (2.32) for the correlation function $W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2l}\delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i])$ the summing runs over the set of such subdivisions of the numbers $1,...,2l$ and over the set of non – oriented paths $\xi^1_{i_1},...,\xi^1_{i_l}$ connecting the vertices $\mathbf{p}_{i_s}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{j_s}$, $s=1,...,l$. By making the changes of summing variables we get

$$\sum_{p_1\neq\cdots\neq p_{2l},\,p_i\in P(G)}W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2l}\delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i])=$$
$$2^{-l}\frac{(2l)!}{l!}\sum_{p_1\neq\cdots\neq p_{2l},\,p_i\in P(G)}\sum_{\substack{\partial\xi^1_i=\delta^0[p_{2i-1}]+\delta^0[p_{2i}],\\ i=1,\ldots,l}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l}(\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1_i}\right)(Z_{r,G})^{-1}Z_{r,G'}.\quad(3.11)$$

The equality (3.11) and the estimate (3.4) imply

$$\mid\sum_{p_1\neq\cdots\neq p_{2l},\,p_i\in P(G)}W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2l}\delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i])\mid\leq$$
$$2^{-l}\frac{(2l)!}{l!}\left(\sum_{p_1\neq p_2,\,p_i\in P(G)}\sum_{\partial\xi^1=\delta^0[p_1]+\delta^0[p_2]}\mid(\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1}\mid\right)^{l}.\quad(3.12)$$

In the right hand side of the inequality (3.12) instead of the non – oriented paths $\xi^1_1,...,\xi^1_l$ which self – intersect and intersect each other transversally we consider the non – oriented paths $\xi^1_1,...,\xi^1_l$ which self – intersect transversally. We may also consider such non – oriented paths $\xi^1_1,...,\xi^1_l$ on the lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\times 2}$. Since the interaction energy $E(\{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}\})$ depends only on the direction (horizontal or vertical) of the edge $\{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}\}$, then in view of the definition (2.33)

$$\sum_{p_1\neq p_2,\,p_i\in P(G)}\sum_{\partial\xi^1=\delta^0[p_1]+\delta^0[p_2]}\mid(\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1}\mid=$$
$$\sum_{q\in Z^{\times 2},\,q\neq 0}\sum_{\partial\xi^1=\delta^0[0]+\delta^0[q]}\mid(\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1}\mid\sum_{p_1,p_2\in P(G),\,p_2-p_1=q}1.\quad(3.13)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{p_1,p_2\in P(G),\,p_2-p_1=q}1\leq\#(VG).\quad(3.14)$$

It follows from the estimates (3.12), (3.14) and from the equality (3.13) that

$$\mid\sum_{p_1\neq\cdots\neq p_{2l},\,p_i\in P(G)}W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2l}\delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i])\mid\leq$$
$$2^{-l}\frac{(2l)!}{l!}(\#(VG))^{l}\left(\sum_{q\in Z^{\times 2},\,q\neq 0}\sum_{\partial\xi^1=\delta^0[0]+\delta^0[q]}\mid(\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1}\mid\right)^{l}\quad(3.15)$$

where the second summing in the right hand side of the inequality (3.15) runs the non – oriented paths ξ^1 on the lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\times 2}$ connecting the vertices 0 and $\mathbf{q}$. These non – oriented paths self – intersect transversally. In view of the estimate (2.37) the series

$$\sum_{q\,\in\, Z^{\times 2},\, q\neq 0}\ \sum_{\partial\xi^1\,=\,\delta^0[0]\,+\,\delta^0[q]} \mid (\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1} \mid \tag{3.16}$$

is absolutely convergent. The equality (3.10) and the inequality (3.15) imply the equality (3.8).

The equality (2.27) implies the equality (3.9) for $k = 0$. Let us consider the equality (3.9) for $k > 0$. It follows from the equality similar to the equality (3.10) and from the inequality (3.15) that

$$\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}} (\beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H})^{2k}((Z_{r,G})^{-1}\bar{Z}_{r,G}) \mid_{H=0}=$$

$$(2k)!\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}} (\#(VG))^{-k} \sum_{p_1\,\neq\,\cdots\,\neq\, p_{2k},\, p_i\,\in\, P(G)} W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2k}\delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i]). \tag{3.17}$$

Let us prove that for any positive number L

$$\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}} (\#(VG))^{-k} \sum_{p_1\,\neq\,\cdots\,\neq\, p_{2k},\, p_i\,\in\, P(G)} W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2k}\delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i]) = 2^{-k}\frac{(2k)!}{k!}\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}} (\#(VG))^{-k}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{p_1\,\neq\,\cdots\,\neq\, p_{2k},\, p_i\,\in\, P(G);\\ |p_{2i}\,-\,p_{2j}|\,>\,L,\, 1\,\leq\, i\,<\,j\,\leq\, k}}\ \sum_{\substack{\partial\xi^1_i\,=\,\delta^0[p_{2i-1}]\,+\,\delta^0[p_{2i}],\\ i\,=\,1,\ldots,k}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}(\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1_i}\right)(Z_{r,G})^{-1}Z_{r,G'}. \tag{3.18}$$

In the relation (3.18) we use the same notation as in the relation (3.11).

In order to prove the equality (3.18) it is sufficient to prove the following equality

$$\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}} (\#(VG))^{-k} \sum_{\substack{p_1\,\neq\,\cdots\,\neq\, p_{2k},\, p_i\,\in\, P(G);\\ |p_{2l}\,-\,p_{2j}|\,\leq\, L}}\ \sum_{\substack{\partial\xi^1_i\,=\,\delta^0[p_{2i-1}]\,+\,\delta^0[p_{2i}],\\ i=1,\ldots,k}}$$

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\mid(\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1_i}\mid\right)(Z_{r,G})^{-1}Z_{r,G'} = 0 \tag{3.19}$$

for any positive number L and for any fixed integers $1 \leq l < j \leq k$. In view of the estimate (3.4) the equality (3.19) follows from the equality

$$\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}} (\#(VG))^{-k} \sum_{\substack{p_1\,\neq\,\cdots\,\neq\, p_{2k},\, p_i\,\in\, P(G);\\ |p_{2l}\,-\,p_{2j}|\,\leq\, L}}\ \sum_{\substack{\partial\xi^1_i\,=\,\delta^0[p_{2i-1}]\,+\,\delta^0[p_{2i}],\\ i=1,\ldots,k}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\mid(\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1_i}\mid= 0. \tag{3.20}$$

In order to prove the equality (3.20) we majorize the sum (3.20) by the similar sum where the second summing runs all non – oriented paths $\xi^1_1, ..., \xi^1_k$ on the lattice $\mathbf{Z}^{\times 2}$ connecting the vertices $\mathbf{p}_{2i-1}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{2i}$, $i = 1, ..., k$ and self – intersecting transversally. Since the interaction energy $E(\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}\})$ depends only on the direction (horizontal or vertical) of the edge $\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}\}$, then in view of the definition (2.33)

$$\sum_{\substack{p_1\,\neq\,\cdots\,\neq\, p_{2k},\, p_i\,\in\, P(G);\\ |p_{2l}\,-\,p_{2j}|\,\leq\, L}}\ \sum_{\substack{\partial\xi^1_i\,=\,\delta^0[p_{2i-1}]\,+\,\delta^0[p_{2i}],\\ i=1,\ldots,k}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\mid(\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1_i}\mid=$$

$$\sum_{\substack{q_i \in Z^{\times 2},\, q_i \neq 0,\\ i=1,\dots,k}} \sum_{\substack{\partial \xi_i^1 = \delta^0[0] + \delta^0[q_i],\\ i=1,\dots,k}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mid (\tanh \beta E)^{\xi_i^1} \mid \sum_{\substack{p_1 \neq \dots \neq p_{2k},\, p_{2i} - p_{2i-1} = q_i,\, p_i \in P(G);\\ |p_{2l} - p_{2j}| \leq L}} 1. \tag{3.21}$$

It is easy to prove the inequality

$$\sum_{\substack{p_1 \neq \dots \neq p_{2k},\, p_{2i} - p_{2i-1} = q_i,\, p_i \in P(G);\\ |p_{2l} - p_{2j}| \leq L}} 1 \leq (2L+1)(\#(VG))^{k-1}. \tag{3.22}$$

The absolute convergence of the series (3.16), the equality (3.21) and the estimate (3.22) imply the equality (3.20). The equality (3.20) implies the equalities (3.19), (3.18).

We denote by $|\xi^1|$ the total number of the edges $\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}\}$ at which the non – oriented path ξ^1 takes the value 1. The absolute convergence of the series (3.16) implies that for any positive number ϵ there is the sufficiently large number $\frac{1}{4}L$ such that

$$\sum_{q \in Z^{\times 2},\, q \neq 0,} \sum_{\substack{\partial \xi^1 = \delta^0[0] + \delta^0[q],\\ |\xi^1| > \frac{1}{4}L}} \mid (\tanh \beta E)^{\xi^1} \mid < \epsilon. \tag{3.23}$$

The estimates (3.4), (3.14), (3.23) imply the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &(\#(VG))^{-k} \mid \sum_{\substack{p_1 \neq \dots \neq p_{2k},\, p_i \in P(G);\\ |p_{2i} - p_{2j}| > L,\, 1 \leq i < j \leq k}} \sum_{\substack{\partial \xi_i^1 = \delta^0[p_{2i-1}] + \delta^0[p_{2i}],\\ i=1,\dots,k}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} (\tanh \beta E)^{\xi_i^1} \right) (Z_{r,G})^{-1} Z_{r,G'} - \\ &\sum_{\substack{p_1 \neq \dots \neq p_{2k},\, p_i \in P(G);\\ |p_{2i} - p_{2j}| > L,\, 1 \leq i < j \leq k}} \sum_{\substack{\partial \xi_i^1 = \delta^0[p_{2i-1}] + \delta^0[p_{2i}],\\ |\xi_i^1| \leq \frac{1}{4}L,\, i=1,\dots,k}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} (\tanh \beta E)^{\xi_i^1} \right) (Z_{r,G})^{-1} Z_{r,G'} \mid < \epsilon C \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where the constant C is independent of the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$.

Let us consider the limit

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{G \to Z^{\times 2}} (\#(VG))^{-k} &\sum_{\substack{p_1 \neq \dots \neq p_{2k},\, p_i \in P(G);\\ |p_{2i} - p_{2j}| > L,\, 1 \leq i < j \leq k}} \sum_{\substack{\partial \xi_i^1 = \delta^0[p_{2i-1}] + \delta^0[p_{2i}],\\ |\xi_i^1| \leq \frac{1}{4}L,\, i=1,\dots,k}} \\ &\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} (\tanh \beta E)^{\xi_i^1} \right) (Z_{r,G})^{-1} Z_{r,G'}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

The relation (2.55) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_{r,G})^{-1} Z_{r,G'} = \exp\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} &\sum_{\substack{C \in CRC(G),\\ ||C|| \cap ||j(\xi_i^1)|| \neq \emptyset}} |C|^{-1} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\} (\tanh \beta E)^{C}\} \times \\ \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} &\sum_{\substack{C \in CRC(G),\\ ||C|| \cap \cup_i ||j(\xi_i^1)|| \neq \emptyset}} (n(C;\xi) - 1)|C|^{-1} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\} (\tanh \beta E)^{C}\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where in the second multiplier the sum extends over the set of all completely reduced closed paths having the common edges with at least two non – oriented paths $\xi_i^1, i = 1, ..., k$. $n(C;\xi)$ is the number of the non – oriented paths $\xi_1^1, ..., \xi_k^1$ with which the completely reduced closed path C has the common edges. Since $|\mathbf{p}_{2i} - \mathbf{p}_{2j}| > L$, $1 \leq i < j \leq k$; $|\xi_i^1| \leq \frac{1}{4}L$, $i = 1, ..., k$, the length of such completely reduced closed path is more than L. In view of the estimate (2.37) the series

$$\sum_{\substack{C \in CRC(G), \\ ||C|| \cap \cup_i ||j(\xi_i^1)|| \neq \emptyset}} (n(C;\xi) - 1)|C|^{-1} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh \beta E)^C \tag{3.27}$$

tends to zero when $L \to \infty$. By choosing the sufficiently large number L we can approximate the limit (3.25) by the following limit

$$\lim_{G \to Z^{\times 2}} (\#(VG))^{-k} \sum_{\substack{p_1 \neq \cdots \neq p_{2k}, p_i \in P(G); \\ |p_{2i} - p_{2j}| > L, 1 \leq i < j \leq k}} \sum_{\substack{\partial \xi_i^1 = \delta^0[p_{2i-1}] + \delta^0[p_{2i}], \\ |\xi_i^1| \leq \frac{1}{4}L, i = 1, \ldots, k}}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \left((\tanh \beta E)^{\xi_i^1} \exp\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{C \in CRC(G), \\ ||C|| \cap ||j(\xi_i^1)|| \neq \emptyset}} |C|^{-1} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh \beta E)^C\} \right). \tag{3.28}$$

Due to the equality (2.55)

$$\exp\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{C \in CRC(G), \\ ||C|| \cap ||j(\xi_i^1)|| \neq \emptyset}} |C|^{-1} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh \beta E)^C\} = (Z_{r,G})^{-1} Z_{r, G \setminus ||j(\xi_i^1)||} \tag{3.29}$$

where the graph $G \setminus ||j(\xi_i^1)||$ is obtained from the graph $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ by deleting all edges from the support $||j(\xi_i^1)||$. We substitute the relation (3.29) into the expression (3.28). By making use of the estimate (3.4) and the equality (3.20) it is possible to prove that the expression (3.28) is equal to

$$\lim_{G \to Z^{\times 2}} (\#(VG))^{-k} \sum_{p_1 \neq \cdots \neq p_{2k}, p_i \in P(G)} \sum_{\substack{\partial \xi_i^1 = \delta^0[p_{2i-1}] + \delta^0[p_{2i}], \\ |\xi_i^1| \leq \frac{1}{4}L, i = 1, \ldots, k}}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \left((\tanh \beta E)^{\xi_i^1} \exp\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{C \in CRC(G), \\ ||C|| \cap ||j(\xi_i^1)|| \neq \emptyset}} |C|^{-1} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh \beta E)^C\} \right) =$$

$$\lim_{G \to Z^{\times 2}} ((\#(VG))^{-1} \sum_{p_1 \neq p_2, p_i \in P(G)} \sum_{\substack{\partial \xi^1 = \delta^0[p_1] + \delta^0[p_2], \\ |\xi^1| \leq \frac{1}{4}L}}$$

$$(\tanh \beta E)^{\xi^1} \exp\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{C \in CRC(G), \\ ||C|| \cap ||j(\xi^1)|| \neq \emptyset}} |C|^{-1} \exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh \beta E)^C\})^k \tag{3.30}$$

where ξ^1 runs all non – oriented paths on the lattice $G(M_1', M_2'; M_1, M_2)$ connecting the vertices $\mathbf{p}_1$ and $\mathbf{p}_2$. These non – oriented paths self – intersect transversally. The length $|\xi^1|$ of the non – oriented path ξ^1 is less than $\frac{1}{4}L$. When $L \to \infty$ the equalities (3.18), (3.30) and the estimate (3.24) imply

$$\lim_{G \to Z^{\times 2}} (\#(VG))^{-k} \sum_{p_1 \neq \cdots \neq p_{2k}, p_i \in P(G)} W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2k} \delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i]) =$$

$$2^{-k} \frac{(2k)!}{k!} \lim_{G \to Z^{\times 2}} ((\#(VG))^{-1} \sum_{p_1 \neq p_2, p_i \in P(G);} W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i]))^k. \tag{3.31}$$

Here we used the expression (2.56) for the correlation function $W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i])$.

Since the interaction energy $E(\{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}\})$ depends only on the direction (horizontal or vertical) of the edge $\{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}\}$, the definitions (2.33), (2.43) and the equality (2.56) imply

$$\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}}(\#(VG))^{-1}\sum_{p_1\neq p_2,\,p_i\in P(G)} W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i]) = \sum_{p\in Z^{\times 2},\,p\neq 0}\ \sum_{\partial\xi^1=\delta^0[0]+\delta^0[p]} (\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1}\exp\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{C\in CRC(Z^{\times 2}),\\ ||C||\cap||j(\xi^1)||\neq\emptyset}}|C|^{-1}\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh\beta E)^C\}\times \lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}}(\#(VG))^{-1}N_G(\xi^1) \qquad (3.32)$$

where the number $N_G(\xi^1)$ is the total number of shifted non – oriented paths ξ^1 on the graph $G(M_1',M_2';M_1,M_2)$. If $|\xi^1|\leq M_i-M_i'$, $i=1,2$, the following estimates are valid

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2}(M_i-M_i'-|\xi^1|)\leq N_G(\xi^1)\leq\prod_{i=1}^{2}(M_i-M_i'). \qquad (3.33)$$

We note that

$$\#(VG)=\prod_{i=1}^{2}(M_i-M_i'+1). \qquad (3.34)$$

The equality (3.29) and the estimates (2.37), (3.4) imply the absolute convergence of the series

$$\sum_{p\in Z^{\times 2},\,p\neq 0} W_{Z^{\times 2}}(\delta^0[0]+\delta^0[\mathbf{p}]) = \sum_{p\in Z^{\times 2},\,p\neq 0}\ \sum_{\partial\xi^1=\delta^0[0]+\delta^0[p]} (\tanh\beta E)^{\xi^1}\exp\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{C\in CRC(Z^{\times 2}),\\ ||C||\cap||j(\xi^1)||\neq\emptyset}}|C|^{-1}\exp\{\frac{i}{2}\phi(C)\}(\tanh\beta E)^C\}. \qquad (3.35)$$

Thus it follows from the equalities (3.32), (3.34), (3.35) and the estimates (3.33) that

$$\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}}(\#(VG))^{-1}\sum_{p_1\neq p_2,\,p_i\in P(G)} W_G(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\delta^0[\mathbf{p}_i]) = \sum_{p\in Z^{\times 2},\,p\neq 0} W_{Z^{\times 2}}(\delta^0[0]+\delta^0[\mathbf{p}]). \qquad (3.36)$$

The equalities (3.17), (3.31), (3.36) imply the equality (3.9) for $k>0$. The proposition is proved.

By making use of the equality (2.24) we define the function

$$m_{Z^{\times 2}}(H)=\beta H+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\beta H)^k}{k!}\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}}(\beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H})^{k+1}\ln((Z_{r,G})^{-1}\bar{Z}_{r,G})\mid_{H=0}. \qquad (3.37)$$

The function (3.37) is expressed holomorphically through the function

$$(Z_{r,Z^{\times 2}})^{-1}\bar{Z}_{r,Z^{\times 2}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\beta H)^k}{k!}\lim_{G\to Z^{\times 2}}(\beta^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial H})^{k}((Z_{r,G})^{-1}\bar{Z}_{r,G})\mid_{H=0}= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-k}\frac{(2k)!}{k!}\left(\beta^2H^2\sum_{p\in Z^{\times 2},\,p\neq 0}W_{Z^{\times 2}}(\delta^0[0]+\delta^0[\mathbf{p}])\right)^k. \qquad (3.38)$$

In the second equality (3.38) we used the relations (3.8), (3.9). By the definitions (3.37), (3.38) these functions are holomorphically expressed each through other. Therefore, if the function (3.38) is not holomorphic at the point $\beta H = 0$, then the function (3.37) is not holomorphic at the point $\beta H = 0$ also. Since $k! = \Gamma(k+1)$, $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$ and $\Gamma(1/2) = \pi^{1/2}$, then

$$2^{-k}\frac{(2k)!}{k!} = \pi^{-1/2}2^k\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{2}). \tag{3.39}$$

For the large number k the following formula is valid

$$\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{2}) = \exp\{k\ln(k+\frac{1}{2}) - k - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\ln 2\pi\}(1+O(k^{-1})). \tag{3.40}$$

For the positive interaction energies $E(\{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{e}\})$ the formulae (3.39), (3.40) imply that the series (3.38) is divergent for any βH. Hence the function (3.38) is not holomorphic at the point $\beta H = 0$.

References

[1] Yang, C.N.: The Spontaneous Magnetization of a Two – Dimensional Ising Model. Phys. Rev. **85**, 808– 816 (1952)

[2] Chang, C.H.: The Spontaneous Magnetization of a Two – Dimensional Rectangular Ising Model. Phys. Rev. **88**, 1422 (1952)

[3] Montroll, E.W., Potts, R.B., Ward, J.C.: Correlations and Spontaneous Magnetization of the Two – Dimensional Ising Model. J. Math. Phys. **4**, 308 – 322 (1963)

[4] Schultz, T.D., Mattis, D.C., Lieb, E.H.: Two – Dimensional Ising Model as a Soluble Problem of Many Fermions. Rev. Mod. Phys. **36**, 856 – 871 (1964)

[5] McCoy, B.M., Tai Tsun Wu: The Two – Dimensional Ising Model. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1973.

[6] Baxter, R.J.: Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics. London: Academic Press, 1982.

[7] Zinoviev, Yu.M.: Ising Model and L – Function. Theor. Math. Phys. **126**, 66 – 80 (2001)

[8] Dolbilin, N.P., Zinoviev, Yu.M., Mishchenko, A.S., Shtan'ko, M.A., Shtogrin, M.I.: The two – dimensional Ising model and the Kac – Ward determinant. Izvestiya: Mathematics **63**, 707 – 727 (1999)